UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,294	09/05/2006	Paul Kelley	29953-227807	5042	
	26694 7590 04/21/2009 VENABLE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438		TAWFIK, SAMEH			
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/566,294	KELLEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,9,10,12-16,19,21,23-27 and 30-54 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16,19,21,23-27 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,9,10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	nd 30-54 is/are withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Copland et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,684,025).

Copland discloses a system for processing a pre-formed plastic container comprising (via pre formed container 30); means for filling a rigid container (Fig. 1; via 21; rigid cups after cooling 30; column 4, lines 33-37); means for capping (via 52 covering the container); means for transporting through the production line (it is inherent that the formed container is moving through the production line via some-type of driving means); means for supporting during the transportation (via roller 16 and machine frame); means for cooling the container body of the filled pre-formed container (Figs. 1 and 2; via atmospheric temperature cooling the pre-formed cups 30); means for pushing a projection extending from the cooled container (Figs. 2-7; via uneven shaped/projection container 30; shaping/pusher die 64).

Copland does not disclose hot filling means to fill the container with hot product.

However, the examiner takes an official notice that such hot filling means is old and well known in the art and it seems that Copland system is capable of using such hot filling means.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Copland's filling means by using one of hot filling means, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to fill the formed cups with holt products.

Regarding claim 2: it is inherent while the hot container being cooled (via atmospheric temperature) some kind of vacuuming will be caused within the container due to the different between the inner and outer temperatures.

Regarding claim 5: the container body is conveyed by its neck during the filling and capping (Fig. 1; via the container conveyed through the filling and capping stations); note the upper portion of the container could be consider as the neck.

Regarding claim 9: the means for pushing the projection and it's panels extending from the container body into the interior of the container body (Figs. 5 and 6).

Regarding claim 10: the container body has a grip portion free of structural geometry (Fig. 2; via upper portion of the container).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Copland et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,684,025) in view of Hiroaki (Japanese Publication No. 63-189224).

Copland does not disclose the use of blow molding to form the container. However, Hiroaki discloses a similar formed container with the use of blow molding with means for inverting the projection extending from the container body into the interior of the container prior to the filling (Figs. 2-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Copland's forming station with the use of blow molding station, as suggested by Hiroaki, in order to simplify the forming step and come up with different shape of the container.

Alternatively, the examiner takes an official notice that the use of blow molding to form plastic containers is old, well known, and available in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Copland's forming station with the use of blow molding station, as a matter of engineering design choice, to simplify the forming step and come up with different shape of the container.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,294 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721